

DEVELOPMENT OF ECONOMICAL WEED MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR MUNGBEAN (*Vigna radiata* L. Wierzeck)

Muhammad Mansoor¹, Haji Khalid Ahmad¹, Hira Yasmin¹, Muhammad
and Muhammad Yaqoob²

Abstract

The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of different weed management strategies on the growth and yield of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wierzeck) in the presence of *Amaranthus retrofractus* and *Chenopodium album* in the winter season. The study was conducted in the experimental field of the Department of Agriculture, University of Dera Ismail Khan, Dera Ismail Khan, Punjab, Pakistan. The treatments were: (1) no weeding, (2) hand weeding, (3) herbicide application, (4) mulch, (5) mulch + hand weeding, (6) mulch + herbicide application, (7) mulch + hand weeding + herbicide application. The results showed that the mulch + hand weeding + herbicide application treatment was the most effective in controlling weeds and increasing the yield of mungbean. The results also showed that the mulch + hand weeding + herbicide application treatment was the most economical in terms of cost and labor. The results of the present study suggest that the mulch + hand weeding + herbicide application treatment is the most effective and economical weed management strategy for mungbean in the presence of *Amaranthus retrofractus* and *Chenopodium album*.

Key words: weed management, allelopathy, *Amaranthus retrofractus*

INTRODUCTION

Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wierzeck) is emerging to be an important crop in the production of the next generation to meet the food needs of the large population of the world. It is a crop of short duration, nutritional superiority and storage for a long time. It is a sensitive crop facing the weeds as main competitor. Weeds are a major problem, reducing the growth and yield of crop. Modern agriculture is not possible without the protection of the crop against competition from weeds. Weeds compete with crop plants for space, light, nutrients and carbon dioxide. However, different views about the intensity of weed losses but it is established fact that weeds cause great losses to crops, depending upon the degree of weed infestation, nature of weed community, and soil and climatic conditions.

There is no specific way to control weeds of all types because of different kinds. The soil, climate and environmental factors influence the choice of control method to be used (Zhang *et al.* (2012) reported that weed could be controlled by manual, cultural and chemical methods. Although weed management practices like hand weeding and herbicide application are effective in weed control, but are uneconomical due to high cost (Zhang *et al.* 2013). Moreover the chemical weed control method is hazardous for health and causes environmental pollution.

The use of sorghum (sorghum water extract) for weed suppression and increase in yield has been reported in field studies by Cheema and Khaliq (2000). A study by Cheema *et al.* (2003) reported that allelopathic plants containing allelochemicals in low quantity can

¹Corresponding author: Muhammad Mansoor, Dera Ismail Khan, NWFP, Pakistan.

²Corresponding author: Muhammad Yaqoob, Dera Ismail Khan, NWFP, Pakistan.

herbicides and in high amount act as herbicides. Therefore, Allelopathy has emerged as an important area of weed control research and has been accepted very recently as important ecological phenomena.

The occurrence of Allelopathic compounds in sorghum (*Sorghum bichlor*) and their subsequent effects on other plants inspired the idea of conducting field study to assess the feasibility of using *Leucolobos* (*Leucolobos canthaliensis*) and *Amorpha* (*Amorpha watsonii*) water extracts as natural herbicides to control weeds in intercrop sorghum. To study their effects on growth and yield of intercrop in comparison with hand weeding and herbicides.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was laid out in RCBD with four replications, keeping control and weed free plots. 4m x 4m plot to plot and row to row spacing 40 and 60 cm respectively. 12/12/12 was used as test variety. Fertilizer was applied as follows: 120 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 60 kg K₂O/ha. Root samples were taken using a quadrate of 1 m² area at 10 and 35 days after sowing for recording dry weed biomass. Weed management was done without use of herbicide before emergence of crop at 10 and 35 days after sowing was applied 10 and 35 DAS. The water extracts were prepared by using the chaffed mixture of the Sorghum ground leaves of *Leucolobos* and *Amorpha* in distilled water in a ratio of 1:5 for 24 hours. It was then filtered and the residue of the extracts. The extracts were concentrated to 20 percent to compare with herbicides.

The layout of the experiment was as under:

Treatments

- 1) Control (weeding)
- 2) Control (10 and 35 days after emergence of the crop)
- 3) Hand weeding (10 and 35 days after sowing)
- 4) Hand weeding + herbicide (Two hand weeding 10 and 35 days after sowing)
- 5) Application of Sorghum extract (10 and 35 days after sowing)
- 6) Application of *Leucolobos* extract (10 and 35 days after sowing)
- 7) Application of *Amorpha* extract (10 and 35 days after sowing)

At regular intervals of status data were recorded as weed density (no./m²), weed biomass (g/m²), Number of branches/plant, Number of roots/plant, Total length of roots/plant (kg/m²) etc. The data for the above data traits were analysed statistically using ANOVA technique and the significant means were separated by using Duncan's Multiple Range Test as outlined by Steel and Torrie (1960).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Weed data 20 days after sowing

Weed density m⁻²

The results are given in the Table 1 that mean data regarding weed density in intercrop sorghum after different various weed management strategies. From the data weed density was significantly lower in water extract application of the followed by sorghum with control and weeding. Control had the highest weed population of 11.10/m². Chhabra et al. (2010) reported similar results that extract application decreased the weed

Fresh Weed Biomass (g m^{-2})

Analysis of the data showed that all the weed control treatments had significant effect on fresh weed biomass. The lowest fresh weight of weeds 7.56 and 7.69 g m^{-2} were recorded in plots sprayed with Acacia and Sorghum water extracts, respectively. The highest fresh weed biomass (10.79 g m^{-2}) was noted in control treatment. Cheema *et al.* (2002) reported that sorghum water extract application reduced fresh weight of weeds by 48%.

Dry weed Biomass (g m^{-2})

The analyzed data of dry weed biomass revealed that the differences between dry weeds biomass of weed management strategies and check treatment were significant, which means that weed control treatments significantly reduced the dry weight of weeds. The lowest dry weeds weight of 3.61 g m^{-2} was recorded in plots sprayed with Acacia water extract followed by 4.48 and 4.51 g m^{-2} in the plots which were sprayed with Sorghum water extract and pre-emergence herbicide + Two hand weeding, respectively. Cheema *et al.* (2002) also reported that extract application reduced dry weight of weed by 50%.

Table-1. Weed parameters as affected by various weed management strategies

Treatment	Weed density m^{-2}		Fresh Weed biomass (gm^{-2})		Dry Weed biomass (gm^{-2})	
	20 DAS	45 DAS	20 DAS	45 DAS	20 DAS	45 DAS
Control	7.93 a	16.59 a	10.97 a	19.60 a	7.51 a	16.58a
Pre-emergence herbicide	5.19 b	8.61 b	9.04 b	12.64 b	5.43 b	9.73 b
Hand weeding	4.45 c	5.91 d	8.12 c	9.39 d	5.01 c	6.73 c
Pre-emergence + Hand weeding	4.39 c	4.85 e	7.97 cd	7.37 e	4.51 d	4.48 d
Sorghum extract	4.15 cd	4.35 ef	7.69 d	6.25 f	4.48 d	4.34 d
Eucalyptus extract	4.46 c	7.08 c	8.37 c	11.56c	5.21 bc	8.42 b
Acacia extract	4.05 d	4.04 f	7.56 d	5.46 g	3.61 e	4.09 d
LSD _(0.05)	0.312	0.504	0.415	0.540	0.282	1.383
CV%	4.25	4.16	3.28	3.51	3.71	11.81

Means sharing common letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Weed data 45 days after sowing

Weed density m^{-2}

The performance of Acacia water extract application was the best amongst all weed management strategies in controlling the weed population, with the value of 4.04 weed m^{-2} . Sorghum water extract application has very close results with 4.35 weed m^{-2} . The control treatment had the highest weed density (16.59). Similar results were obtained by Cheema *et al.* (2003) who noted that extract application gave better weed control. Khan *et al.* (2004a&b) have also reported the inhibitory effect of tree extracts on the germination of weeds of wheat crop.

Fresh Weed Biomass (g m^{-2})

The analyzed data of Fresh weed biomass at 45 days after sowing of mungbean revealed that all the weed management strategies had significant effect on the fresh weight of weeds. The lowest fresh weed weight of 5.46 g m^{-2} was recorded in plots sprayed with Acacia water extract followed by 6.25 g m^{-2} in the plot, which had been sprayed with the Sorghum water extract. Among all the treatments, the highest fresh weed weight of 19.60 g m^{-2} was noted in control treatment. Similar results was obtained by Bhatti *et al.* (2000) with the application of water extract in other crops. Khan *et al.* (2004 a&b) have reported the inhibitory effect of tree species on associated weeds of wheat crop.

Dry weed Biomass (g m^{-2})

The statistical analysis of the data showed that all the weed control treatments had significant effect on dry weeds weight. The differences between dry weed weights of weed management treatments and control plots were significant. Three treatments i.e. Application of Acacia, sorghum water extracts and treatment with two hand weeding + Pre-emergence herbicide were statistically at par with 4.09, 4.34 and 4.48 g m^{-2} . While the highest dry weed biomass (16.58 g m^{-2}) was noted in control treatment. Water extracts resulted in reduction of weed biomass in the presence of either phytotoxic or allelopathic compounds. The results may have value in enabling weed control based on natural plant extracts. Similar results were obtained by Cheema *et al* (2002) who reported reduction of dry weed biomass in treatments where water extracts were applied.

Table-2. Mungbean yield parameters as affected by weed management strategies

Treatment	No of branches plant ⁻¹	No of pods plant ⁻¹	1000 grain weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})
Control	4.13 d	34.03 c	42.78 a	720 e
Pre-emergence herbicide	4.33 d	38.00 bc	38.31 b	1103 c
Hand weeding	4.70 c	41.18 b	39.92 ab	1125 bc
Pre-em+Hand weeding	5.16 a	55.69 a	37.68 b	1221 a
Sorghum extract	5.03 ab	42.64 b	38.25 b	1174 ab
Eucalyptus extract	4.90 bc	39.90 bc	38.74 ab	1135 bc
Acacia extract	5.23 a	58.46 a	37.86 b	1228 a
LSD _{0.05}	0.23	6.27	4.37	62.50
CV%	3.10	9.24	7.29	3.71

Means sharing common letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Number of branches plant⁻¹

The perusal of Table-2 indicated that weed management strategies significantly differed ($P=0.05$) in affecting branches plant⁻¹. The number of branches plant⁻¹ ranged from 4.58 to 6.53. The highest number of branches plant⁻¹ (6.53) were recorded when Acacia extract was applied followed by pre-emergence herbicide + two hand weeding and Sorghum water extract application (6.19 and 6.01, respectively). The lowest branches (4.58) were obtained in control plots which were significantly less than all the weed management strategies. Similar results were reported by Cheema *et al.* (2001) they reported that with the application of water extracts number of branches were increased.

Number of Pods plant⁻¹

Data regarding number of pods plant⁻¹ on given in Table-2 were found significantly different. Number of pods plant⁻¹ ranged from 40.83 to 66.10. The highest number (66.10) of pods plant⁻¹ were counted in the Acacia extract application followed by Pre-emergence herbicide + two hand weeding giving 62.60 pods plant⁻¹. The lowest pods (40.83) were obtained in control treatment. Cheema *et al.* (2001) also reported the increase in number of pods plant⁻¹ with application of water extracts.

1000 grain weight (g)

Data regarding 1000 grain weight as affected by different weed management strategies was non-significant at P=0.05, and ranged from 39.22 to 43.28 g. The highest value (43.28 g) was observed in control treatment followed by hand weeding treatment (41.60 g), while the lowest value (39.22 g) was recorded in Pre-emergence herbicide + Two hand weeding treatment.

Grain Yield kg ha⁻¹

The data given in Table-2 shows that grain yield was significantly affected by various weed management strategies applied for weed control. It ranged from 750 to 1266 kg ha⁻¹. The highest grain yield (1266) was recorded in Acacia extract application treatment closely followed by Pre-emergence herbicide + Two hand weeding treatment with grain yield of 1253 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained. While the lowest yield (750 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained in control treatment. Ali *et al.* (2004) reported similar results that water extract application increased the grain yield of crops.

REFERENCES CITED

- Ali, S., W.A. Shah, J. Bakht and N. Jabeen. 2004. Comparison of sorghum extracts chemical and hand weeding management in wheat crop. *J. Agron.* 3(1): 59-67.
- Bhatti, M.Q.L., Z.A. Cheema and T. Mehmood. 2000. Efficiency of sorgaab as natural weed inhibitor in Raya. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* 3(7):1128-1130.
- Cheema, Z.A. and A. Khaliq. 2000. Use of sorghum Allelopathic properties to control weeds in Irrigated wheat in a Semi arid region of Punjab. *Agric., Ecosystems and Environ.* 79: 105-112.
- Cheema, Z.A., A. Khaliq and K. Ali. 2002. Efficiency of sorgaab for weed control in wheat grown at different fertility levels. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* 8 (1-2): 33-39.
- Cheema, Z.A., A. Khaliq and M. Mubeen. 2003. Response of wheat and winter weeds to foliar application of different plant water extracts of sorghum. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* 9 (1-2): 89-97.
- Cheema, Z.A. A. Khaliq and S. Akhtar. 2001. Use of sorgaab (sorghum water extract) as a natural weed inhibitor in spring mungbean. *International. J. Agric. Biol.* 3 (4): 515-518.
- Qurashi, M.A., A.D. Jarwar, S.D. Tunio and H.I. Majeedano. 2002. Efficacy of various weed management practices in wheat. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* 8(1-2):63-69.

- Khan, M. A., G. Hassan and K.B.Marwat. 2004a. Allelopathic potential of some multi-purpose tree species (mpts) on wheat and some of its associated weeds. Presented in 4th International Weed Science Congress, Durban, South Africa from 20-24 June, 2004.
- Khan, M. A., K.B.Marwat and G. Hassan. 2004b. Allelopathic potential of some multi-purpose tree species (PPTS) on wheat and some of its associated weeds. Intl. J. Biol. Biotech. 1(3):275-278.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H.Torrie. 1980. Principles and procedures of Statistics. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc. New York, 481.pp.