STUDY AND COLLECTION OF HYDROPHYTES OF THE DISTRICT SWABI, PAKISTAN

Muhammad Fawad¹, Bakhtiar Gul¹, Haroon Khan^{1*} and Zahid Khan²

ABSTRACT

A survey was conducted to study hydrophytes in various water bodies and wetlands of District Swabi and to pin point infestations of aquatic weeds particularly the invasive aquatic plants during September-December 2012. A total of 32 plant species belonging to 23 families were collected. The specimens were properly identified by using flora of Pakistan. After identification the specimens were submitted to Special Herbarium for Weeds and Medicinal plants in the Department of Weed Science, the University of Agriculture Peshawar. The aquatic plants found during the survey were categorized on the basis of habitat (regarding depth of water), life cycle and type of water body. Regarding habitat there were free floating weeds, anchored floating weeds, emergent weeds, submerged and marshy weeds. Among them 8 % of total hydrophytes studied were free floating and 58% anchored floating emergent, 11% submerged and 17 % marshy weeds. Regarding life cycle of the hydrophytes, 72 % among them were annual and the rest (28 %) were perennial plants. About 38 % of the aquatic plants were associated with streams and river banks, 23 % to drainage ditches and stagnant water conditions, 20 % to irrigation channels and 14 % to wetland and marshy places, respectively. Persicaria hydropiper_Michx. was the most poisonous weed while Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms., Pistia stratiotes L., Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud., and Typha latifolia L. were the most problematic weeds and were abundant almost in all habitats and water bodies.

Key words: aquatic weeds, hydrophytes, Swabi, Pakistan

Citation: Fawad, M., B. Gul, H. Khan and Z. Khan. 2013. Study and collection of hydrophytes of the district Swabi, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 19(4): 513-522.

INTRODUCTION

¹Department of Weed Science, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan

²Department of Pharmacy, Federal Urdu University, Karachi, Pakistan. *Corresponding author's email: haroonkhan@aup.edu.pk

Swabi lies between the Indus and Kabul rivers, between 33°-55′ & 34°-23′ North latitudes and 72°-13′ and 72°-49′ East longitudes. The entire district precipitations, are mainly concentrated in March (as high as 160 mm) as well as in summer from July-August (Monsoon rains). It is bounded in the North by Buner, on the East by Haripur, in the South by Attock and on the West by Nowshera and Mardan district. The total area of the district is 1543 km² with an Altitude 316 m ASL. The famous Tarbela Dam and electricity power house is located here. Most of the people are associated with agriculture. Wheat, sugarcane, tobacco and maize are the main crops especially tobacco is of very prime quality and leading national and multinational tobacco companies have their factories and warehouses built here (Said, 1978).

The district of Swabi is rich in water resources and has sufficient water bodies presently in the form of streams, canals, drainage ditches, lakes, ponds, marshy areas and wetlands. Some of them are directly used for agriculture, livestock's, fisheries, drinking purpose entertainments. But the rest are only the carriers of the drainage and rain water and often of no use for agriculture, drinking, livestock etc. These water bodies are complex ecosystems composed of distinct habitats influenced by biological, physical and chemical processes. Aquatic plants are a major part of this complex and are actively growing continuously or periodically depending upon seasonal variations, the availability of required amount of water and other ecological factors. They occur submerged below or floating on the surface or growing up through the water surface. These plants play an important role in the structure and function of the aquatic ecosystem (Chambers *et al.*, 2008; Chandrasena et al., 2012; Macdonald, 2012)

The aquatic plants are of various types, some are rooted in hydro-soil some are emergent; often found on the bank or shoreline while others are submerged and live below the water surface for most of the time. Still others are free floating, and some are rooted on the bank of the impoundments, adopting semi aquatic habitat. Some of the plants have a profuse growth pattern, propagate with such rapidity, and infestation is so high that unless timely action is taken it is extremely difficult to keep them under control. Aquatic weeds generally exhibit a variety of vegetative (asexual) reproduction, many of which serve as overwintering, a dispersal mechanism which makes them survive the harsh winters. It has been observed that in some cases the growth is so luxuriant and thick that weeds occupy the entire water surface like a thick carpet which can support small animals to walk on it without being drowned (Anderson, 2003).

Aquatic weeds are those unabated plants which grow and complete their life cycle in water and cause harm to aquatic

environment directly and to related eco-environment indirectly. Water is one of the most important natural resources and in fact basis of all life forms on earth. Fish production is greatly affected by the presence of floating and submerged aquatic weeds. Isolated weed beds may be tolerated, providing shelter and shade for fish, but when the growth becomes thick and covers entire water body, it can be lethal for fish growth. Fish may suffocate from a lack of oxygen and it may cause death. When floating and submerged aquatic weeds become extremely dense, many fish species are unable to exist in such environments and (Lancar and Krake, 2002). Threats to fresh waters such as vanish kinds, unfavorable pollution of different climatic changes, eutrophication, acidification, and alien species invasion lead to reduction in native aquatic plants diversity which also threatens the faunal diversity of aquatic ecosystem (Chambers et al., 2008).

The streams with fresh water were highly infested where their movement is slow and the width is more. While there exist less weeds in water channel, but due to certain problems like siltation and sedimentation, some of the weeds like *Hydrilla*, algae and curly leaf pond weeds are found. In the areas where the water table is high and water logging is prevalent due to less soil gradient and poor drainage system the rain water, sewages and waste water does not percolate down. They becomes stagnant ponds where mainly exist floating weeds i.e. water hyacinth, water lettuce and duck weeds. Emergent weeds like Typha and common reed are also common.

Keeping in view the importance of water for agriculture, livestock, and other requirements of the common public and the threats to these water bodies from hydrophytes and invasive aquatic weeds the present study were carried out with the objectives to know the aquatic flora and to pin point the aquatic weed infestation in the study area and to investigate the presence of invasive weeds in different water bodies of the study area.,

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A floristic study of the various water bodies and aquatic habitats including running water, lakes, stagnant ponds, paddy fields, streams banks and marshy places was conducted in the district of Swabi-Pakistan during September-December, 2012. Plant specimens were collected from 10 different sites of the district Swabi. During this four months study a total of 32 aquatic and wet land species were collected, cleaned and tagged for proper labeling and identification. Specimens were pressed flat and dried between newspapers for a better drying and well preserved appearance. After complete drying the specimens were chemically preserved through $AgNO_3$ and $CuSO_4$ dissolved in ethanol, pasted on a herbarium sheet with the help of glue and labeled with all the required information collected during study in the field notebook. The equipments used during plant collection, pressing, drying, pasting and labeling were: maps, pencil, field notebook, polythene bags, scissor, digging tools, blotting papers, old newspapers, herbarium sheets, glue, tags, hand lens, plant pressers, & digital camera etc. Field notebook was used recording their complete history in the field and later on using this information while labeling the specimens. This information included date of collection, collection number, plant habitat, plant habit, botanical name, vernacular name, family, plant height, locality, abundance, water type and water depth etc.

The species collected from different water bodies were categorized according to their growing position with respect to water surface (habitat) as floating, emergent, free floating, submerged and marshy plants. The collected specimens were identified by utilizing Flora of Pakistan, Internet and consulting veteran professors from Department of Botany and Weed Science.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During the study, it was observed that streams with no disturbance were densely infested with aquatic vegetation as compared to water channels, where a little bit of sanitation was in use. But again there were certain problems associated to irrigation system like siltation and sedimentation particularly in the on-farm- watermanagement i.e. the water courses due to no lining and frequent disturbances. Water logged conditions and poor drainage system further aggravated the problem of aquatic weeds and made the water flow to a standstill. These conditions encouraged the free floating weeds like water lettuce, duck weed and water Hyacinth. The emergent weeds like typha and phragmites well flourished on the margins of these stagnant ponds. Different water bodies had different hydrophytes communities like emergent weeds, anchor floating weeds, free floating weeds, submerged weeds and marshy weeds, depending on the flow, depth and quality of water.

The seasonal variations and receding of water also had an impact on the intensity and frequency of certain aquatic plants. Water lettuce (Pistia stratiotes L.) and water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes L.) were observed floating freely in stagnant ponds with a great density, covering the whole surface of the pond. Water hyacinth and water lettuce were also found anchored to the hydrosoil in the shallower waters due to water recession and excessive evapotranspiration from the limited waters of the pond. But lotus (Nelumbo nucifera L.) was found anchored in shallow as well as in deep waters up to 1.5 meters.

Marsillea minuta was observed floating but anchored to the hydrosoil in fresh water streams, water channels and marshy places. Hydrilla verticillata (L.f.) Royle., Najas minor L., Potamogeton crispus L. Ruppia maritime L. and filamentous algae were observed in the submerged in fresh water streams. Alternantherea sessilis L., Typha latifolia L., Phragmites australus (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud., Echinochloa esculenta (A. Braun) H. Scholz., Persicaria hydropiper W.T. Aiton., Saccharum griffithii (Monro ex Boiss.) Hack. Naustursium officinale W.T. Aiton., Paspalum conjugatum (Berg), Ipomoea aquatica L., Eclipta alba L., Sagittaria latifolia L., Canna indica L., Canna cleopatra L. and Salix tetrasperma Roxb. were observed in the emergent form either on the bank or inside the water bodies. Commelina diffusa L., Fimbristylis bisumbellata (Forssk.) Bubani L., Cyperus iria L., Cyperus strigosus L. Cyperus fuscus L., Cyperus brevifolius (Rottb.) Hassk and Apluda mutica L. were observed in marshy place and in shallow water habitats. Most of the aquatic vegetation was found in water streams and river banks. Among these 32 species Persicaria hydropiper L. was the most poisonous weed while Eichhornia crassipes L., Pistia stratiotes L., Phragmites australis L., and Typha latifolia L. were the most problematic weeds and were abundant almost in all habitats and almost all types of the water bodies. Regarding life cycle of the hydrophytes 72% among them were annual and the rest (28%) were perennial plants. According to their growing position regarding to water surface 58% of total hydrophytes were emergent, 17% marshy, 11% submerged and 8% free floating and anchored floating.

On the basis of habitat 38% weeds associated with water streams and river banks. About 20% weeds associated with irrigation channels. 14% to wet land and marshy places and 23% associated with ponds and stagnant water habitat. With respect to their botanical grouping 15% of the total hydrophtes studied belonged to family Poaceae, 14% to Cyperaceae and 5% to Cannaceae, while the rest of the families like Amaranthaceae, Alismataceae, Asteraceae, Araceae, Brassicaceae, Convolulaceae, Commenelaceae, Zygnematacae, Hydrocharitaceae, Juncaceae, Lemnaceae, Marsileaceae, Nelumbonaceae, Potamogetonaceae, Pontederiaceae, Polygonaceae, Ruppiaceae, Salicaceae, Equisetaceae and typaceae contributed only 3% each, respectively.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded from the results that water bodies and wetlands of District Swabi are full of hydrophytes which is a great threat to water quality and water delivery in the channels for agriculture purposes. **Table-1.** List of hydrophytes collected from various water bodies in District Swabi Pakistan during Sep-Dec 2012

| V.N | Botanical name | English name | Family | Plant type/ Group | Life cycle | Habitat | Plant size/ Growing habit | Water Type/ depth | Abundan ce | Date |
|-----|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| 16 | Apluda mutica L. | Mauritian grass | Poaceae | E | А | Irrigation channel | 2 feet Erect herb | Fresh water > feet | Rare | 26-9-12 |
| 12 | <i>Echinochloa esculenta.</i> (A.Braun) H.Scholz | Japanese millet | Poaceae | Е | А | Stagnant pond | 3 feet Erect herb | Waste 1 feet | Rare | 23-9-12 |
| 11 | Pasphalam conjugantum. (Berg). | Water pasphalam | Poaceae | Е | А | Stream banks | 2 feet Spreading herb | Fresh water 6 inch | Very common | 23-9-12 |
| 1 | <i>Pharagmites australus</i> (<u>Cav.</u>) <u>Trin.</u> ex <u>Steud.</u> | Common reed | Poaceae | Е | Р | Stream banks | 6 feet Erect shrub | Fresh water 2 feet | Very common | 21-9-12 |
| 17 | <i>Saccharum griffithii.</i> (Monro ex Boiss.) Hack. | Wild cane | Poaceae | E | Ρ | Stream banks | 5 feet Erect shrub | stagnant 5 inches | common | 26-9-12 |
| 2 | Alternanthere sassilis L. | Sessile joyweed | Amaranthacea e | E | А | River bank | 2 feet Erect herb | Fresh water 6 inch | common | 21-9-12 |
| 33 | <i>Spirogyra</i> sp. | Filamentus Algae | Zygnematacae | S | А | Stagnant pond | 10 feet Spreading | Fresh water 2 feet | Common | 20-10- 12 |
| 4 | Cyperus strigosus L. | False nutsedge | Cyperaceae | E | А | Wet land | 1.5 feet Erect herb | Marshy 3 inch | Rare | 21-09- 12 |
| 6 | Cyperus fuscus L. | Brown flatsedge | Cyperaceae | Е | А | Stream banks | 4 feet Erect herb | Fresh water 4 inch | Rare | 21-09- 12 |
| 7 | Cyperus spp. | nutsedges | Cyperaceae | Е | А | Stream banks | 3 feet Erect herb | Muddy 3 inch | Rare | 21-09- 12 |
| 28 | <i>Cyperus brevifolius</i> (Rottb.) Hassk. | globe kyllinga | Cyperaceae | E | А | Stream banks | > feet Erect herb | Fresh water 5 inch | Rare | 07-10- 12 |
| 31 | Cyperus iria L. | Rice flatsedge | Cyperaceae | E | А | Stagnant water | 2 feet Erect herb | Fresh water > feet | Rare | 12-10- 12 |
| 35 | <i>Naustursium officinale</i> W.T. Aiton. | Water cress | Brassicaceae | E | Р | Stream bank | > feet Erect herb | Fresh water > feet | Common | 22-10- 12 |
| 3 | <i>Persicaria hydropiper</i> Michx. | Swamp smart weed | Polygonaceae | E | А | Stream bank | 1.5 feet Erect herb | Fresh water 1 feet | common | 21-09- 12 |
| 32 | Canna cleopatra L. | Canna lillie | Cannaceae | E | Ρ | Stream banks | 5 feet Erect rhizomatus herb | Fresh water 2 feet | Common | 15-10- 12 |

| V.N | Botanical name | English name | Family | Plant type/ Grou p | Life cycl e | Habitat | Plant size/ Growing habit | Water Type/depth | Abunda nce | Date |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 8 | Canna indica L. | Canna | Cannaceae | E | Р | Stagnant pond | 5 feet Erect rhizomatus herb | Marshy > feet | Common | 22-09- 12 |
| 9 | <i>Fimbristylis bisumbellata</i> (Forssk.) Bubani. | Juncus | Juncaceae | E | А | Stream banks | 1 feet Erect herb | Stagnant & marshy | Rare | 23-09- 12 |
| 10 | <i>Hydrilla verticilla</i> (L.f.) Royle. | Hydrilla | Hydrocharita ceae | S | А | Irrigation channel | 2 feet Spreading herb | Fresh water 2 feet | Very Commo n | 25-09- 12 |
| 15 | <i>Eclipta alba</i> L. | False daisy | Asteraceae | Е | А | Stream banks | 1 feet Erect herb | Fresh water 2 feet | Commo n | 25-09- 12 |
| 13 | <i>Marsilea quadrifoliata</i> L. | Pepperwort water clover | Marsileaceae | AF | Р | Stagnant pond | 8 inch Spreading herb | Hard water 4 feet | Very Commo n | 25-09- 12 |
| 21 | <i>Typa latifolia</i> L. | Cattail | Турасеае | Е | | | 5 feet Erect herb | Hard water 5 feet | Very Commo n | 28-09- 12 |
| 18 | <i>Salix tetrasperma</i> Roxb. | Willow tree | Salicaceae | Е | Ρ | River bank | 12 feet Erect tree | Fresh 2 feet | Commo n | 26-09- 12 |
| 30 | <i>Ipomea aquatic</i> Forssk. | Water spinach | Convolulacea e | E | Р | Stream banks | 6 feet Spreading shrub | Fresh water 2 feet | Commo n | 12-10- 12 |
| 22 | <i>Pistia stratioties</i> L. | Water lettuce | Araceae | F | Р | Stagnant pond | > ft spreading herb | Fresh water 4 feet | Abunda nt | 04-10- 12 |
| 24 | <i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> Gaertn. | Lotus | Nelumbonace ae | AF | Р | Stagnant pond | 2 ft Spreading herb | Hard water 3 feet | Very rare | 04-10- 12 |
| 37 | Cystopteris fragilis | Fern | Cystopterida ceae | М | Р | irrigation channel | 1 feet Erect herb | Fresh water > feet | Very rare | 09-12- 12 |
| 19 | <i>Commelina diffusa</i> Burm.f. | Climbing dayflower | Commenelac eae | м | А | Water channel | 6" Spreading herb | Hard water 1 feet | Rare | 27-09- 12 |

| V.N | Botanical name | English name | Family | Plant type/ Grou p | Life cycl e | Habitat | Plant size/ Growing habit | Water Type/depth | Abunda nce | Date |
|-----|--|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 34 | <i>Lemna azolla</i> L. | Duck weed | Lemnaceae | FF | А | Stagnant pond | 1 cm spreading | Hard water 3 feet | Abunda nt | 22-10- 12 |
| 36 | <i>Ruppia maritima</i> L. | Wigeon grass | Ruppiaceae | S | А | Stream water | > feet Filamentous | Fresh water 1 feet | Rare | 25-10- 12 |
| 23 | Potamegeton crispus L. | Curly leaf pondweed | potamogeton aceae | S | А | Running water | 1 feet Spreading herb | Fresh water 2 feet | Commo n | 04-10- 12 |
| 5 | <i>Eichornia crassipes</i> (Mart.) Solms. | Water Hycinth | pontederiace ae | FF | A | Running water | > feet Spreading herb | 4 feet | Very commo n | 21-09- 12 |
| 25 | <i>Sagittaria latifolia</i> Willd. | Duck Potato | Alismataceae | М | А | Stagnant pond | 2 feet Erect herb | Hard water 1 feet | Very rare | 04-10- 12 |

A = Annual, P = Perennial, E = Emergent, S = Submerged, F = Floating, AF = Anchored Floating, M = Marshy, FF = Free Floating

REFERENCES CITED

- Ali, A., Z. K. Shinwari and F. M. Sarim. 2010. Contribution to the algal flora (Chlorophyta) of fresh waters of district Swat. NWFP, Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot. 42(5): 3457-3462.
- Anderson, L. W. 2003. A review of aquatic weed biology and management research conducted by the United States Department of Agriculture; Agricultural Research Service. Pest Manag. Sci. 59(6-7): 801-813.
- Chambers, P.A., P. Lacoul., K.J. Murphy and S.M. Thomaz. 2008. Global diversity of aquatic macrophytes in freshwater. Hydrobiologia.595: 9-26.
- Chandrasena, N., P. Harper and B. Chisholm. 2012. Developing hydrogel for selective management of submerged aquatic weeds. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 18: 113-123 (Special Issue).
- Eisenlohr, Jr. W. S. 1966. Water loss from a natural pond through transpiration by hydrophytes. Water Resources Res. 2(3): 443-453.
- Eisenlohr, Jr., W.S. 1969. Relation of water loss to moisture content of hydrophytes in a natural pond. Water Resources Res. 5(2): 527-530.
- Janauer, G. A. 1997. Macrophytes, hydrology, and aquatic ecotones: A GIS-supported Ecological survey. Aquatic Bot. 58(3): 379-391.
- Lancar, L., and Krake, K. 2002. Aquatic weeds and their management.Pp: 1-65.
- Langeland, K. A. 1996. *Hydrilla verticillata* (LF) Royle, Hydrocharitaceae; The Perfect Aquatic Weed. Castanea. 293-304.
- Lacoul, P., and B. Freedman. 2006. Environmental influences on aquatic plants in freshwater ecosystems. Envi. Reviews. 14(2): 89-136.
- Macdonald, G.E. 2012. The development and implications of herbicide resistance in aquatic plant management. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 18: 385-395 (Special Issue).
- Marwat, S. K, M. A. Khan, M. Ahmad and M. Zafar. 2009. *Nyphoidesindica* (L.) Kuntze; A new Record for Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot. 41(6): 2657-2660.
- Marwat, S. K., Khan, M. A., Khan, M. A., Ahmad, M., Zafar, M., Rehman, F., & Sultana, S. 2007. Aquatic Plants of District D. I. Khan, Pakistan. Ethnobot. Leaflets. 11: 247-257.
- Marwat S. K., Khan, M. A., Rehman, F., Ahmad, M., &Zafar, M. 2011.Biodiversity and Importance of Floating Weeds of D. I. Khan District of KPK, Pakistan. Afr. J. Trad. Cam. 8.5(S): 97-107.

- Perveen, A. 1999.A palynological survey of aquatic flora of Karachi-Pakistan. Turk. J. Bot. 23: 309-317.
- Said, M. 1978. Physical environment. Chapter V. In: Causes, effect and remedies of poppy cultivation in Swabi Gadoon area. (Ed) N. Main, Board of Economic Enquiry, Univ. Peshawar. 1: 127-145.
- Shah, S. M., and F. Hussain. 2008. Ecology of wetlands of Akbarpura, District Nowshera. Department of Botany, University of Peshawar, Pakistan. Pak. J. Plant Sci. 14(1): 47-57.
- Shah, M. and N. Hashmi. 2012. Macrophyte waste stabilization ponds: An option for municipal waste water treatment. Inter. J. Physical Sci. 7(30): 5162 – 5166.
- Suzhu, L.Q.L.M.Y. and Z. Jieshen. 1991. On the response of vascular hydrophytes to chromium pollution in water system and aligned effect of purification. J. Jinan Univ. 3: 17.
- Vymazal, J. and L. Kropfelova. 2008. Wastewater Treatment in Constructed Wetlands with Horizontal Sub-Surface Flow. Envir. Pollu. 14: 566.
- Vukov, D., P. Boza, R. Igic and G. Anackov. 2008. The distribution and the abundance of hydrophytes along the Danube River in Serbia. Central. Eur. J. Biol. 3(2): 177-187.
- Wolverton, B. C., and McDonald, R. C. 1979. The water hyacinth: from prolific pest to potential provider. Ambio. 8(1): 2-9.
- Zaman, A. and F. Hussain. 2006b. Limnological studies of some water bodies in Peshawar valley in relation to algal distribution in spring. Int. J. Phycol. & Phycochem., 2: 203-206.
- Zhang, X. L., Gu, D. Q., Ye, S. Y., Yin, P., and Zhang, Z. H. 2009. Lagoonal wetland flora in whooper swan (*Cygnus cygnus*) nature reserve in Rongcheng of Shandong. Chinese. J. Ecol. 6: 013.
- Zhang, X., Feng, A., Sui, Y., and Xia, D. 2006. Floral characteristics and protection of vascular plants in coastal wetlands of Jiaozhou Bay. Chinese. J. Ecol. 25(7): 822-827.